

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	l i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/675,155		09/29/2000	Elizabeth Ann Murphy	19046.0001	19046.0001 3336		
23517	7590	04/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		N SHEREFF FRIEI	SOTOMAY	SOTOMAYOR, JOHN			
3000 K STF BOX IP	CEE1, NW	V		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				3714			
				DATE MAILED: 04/30/200	DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004 / 4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
0.55	09/675,15	55	MURPHY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit	
	John L So		3714	
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on the	ecover sheet with the	correspondence addres:	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventual munication. (30) days, a reply within the stat a statutory period will apply and well will, by statute, cause the apples after the mailing date of this co	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) do the spire SIX (6) MONTHS fro discation to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 27 February 20	04.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is n			
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pra	on for allowance except	for formal matters, p		rits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-18,20-33,35-37,</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14,16-18,20-31,33,</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,32 and 51</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to resident	s/are withdrawn from co 35-37,39-50,52 and 58- cted to.	nsideration. 72 is/are rejected.	application.	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a Applicant may not request that any ol Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ 11) The oath or declaration is objected	re: a) accepted or b) pjection to the drawing(s) to ing the correction is requir	be held in abeyance. S red if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	***
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office acceptance.	ty documents have been the documents have been the priority documents and the priority documents and Bureau (PCT Rules).	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stag	J e
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	nry (PTO-413) Date I Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In regard to the amendment filed February 27, 2004, claims 15, 19, 34, 38, 53 and 57 are canceled and claims 1-14, 16-18, 20-33, 35-37, 39-52, 54-56 and 58-72 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6,8-12, 14-25, 27-31 and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hekmatpour (US 5,720,007).
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 20, Hekmatpour discloses a training method that can be used with a computer network (Col 6, lines 26-30), the creation of a user profile (Fig. 12), using the profile to determine what personalized training a user is to receive to improve a user's job function knowledge (Col 20, lines 46-53), providing the personalized training directly to the user (Fig. 12), and that the profile defines a user's unique training requirements for a business professional role (Col 27, lines 18-20). The training provided to each user is personalized through the profile database. Profile personalization begins by assigning each student to one of four categories based upon her/her profile: beginner, novice, intermediate, and expert (Col 28, lines 32-34). The training is further personalized by the use of certification procedures to

Art Unit: 3714

increase business information quality, which test a trainee's progress, track performance, and help the trainee concentrate on problem areas, and the use of certification modules to provide meaningful feedback (Col 29, lines 1-15). The certification modules are used to update the user profile database (Col 20, lines 51-53).

- 4. Regarding claims 2 and 21, Hekmatpour discloses a system in which training progress and activity data are generated and stored on the database server in a user profile database (Col 20, lines 49-64).
- 5. Regarding claims 3 and 22, Hekmatpour discloses a system and method wherein business knowledge, training progress and training performance data are all stored on an individual login basis (Fig. 12).
- 6. In regard to claims 4 and 23, Hekmatpour discloses that all data generated and received by the system is stored on a database server (Col 27, lines 35-62).
- 7. In regard to claims 5 and 24, Hekmatpour discloses a system and method that generates documents such as administrative reports from database records that contain business knowledge, training progress and training performance data (Col 29,lines 28-51).
- 8. In regard to claims 6 and 25, Hekmatpour discloses a system and method that generates administrative reports in accordance with administrator instructions (Col 29, lines 28-51).
- 9. In regard to claims 8 and 27, Hekmatpour discloses that the generated documents are stored on a database server (Col 29, lines 45-51).
- 10. In regard to claims 9-11 and 28-30, Hekmatpour discloses that the system and method manages several types of knowledge, links these knowledge areas to one another, and includes descriptive text as an integral feature of the knowledge areas (Col 28, lines 18-48).

Application/Control Number: 09/675,155 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

11. In regard to claims 12 and 31, Hekmatpour discloses that the system and method manages a plurality of knowledge types (Col 27, lines 35-46).

- 12. In regard to claim 14 and 33, Hekmatpour discloses a system and method that enables communication between one or more users (Col 9, lines 53-54).
- 13. In regard to claim 15 and 34, Hekmatpour discloses a system and method that provides reference business knowledge based upon a login (Col 27, lines 35-36).
- 14. In regard to claims 16-19 and 35-38, Hekmatpour discloses a system and method for administering and tracking business knowledge data based upon individual login accounts, the creation and tracking of user profile information, providing notification for compliance of users, and distributing this information to qualified administrators (Col 20, lines 46-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3714

instructions.

17. Claims 7 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hekmatpour in view of Hollingsworth (US 6,157,808). Hekmatpour discloses that generated documents are stored on a database server in accordance with administrative instructions (Col 29, lines 24-31). Hekmatpour does not specifically disclose that this is a first set of administrative instructions. However, Hollingsworth teaches a process for determining the training needs of employees on an ongoing basis, which shows that there is a first set of administrative instructions as well as a number of subsequent administrative instructions that require document storage on the server (Col 3, lines 15-32). The generation of documentation relating to employee performance and training goals established and met is an established, ongoing process in both prior inventions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a method and system for storing documents on the server in response to the first set, as well as subsequent sets, of administrative

Page 5

- 18. Claims 39-50 and 52-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linton (US 6,282,404) in view of Hekmatpour.
- 19. In regard to claim 39, Linton discloses a computer system and server coupled to the network for obtaining login data (Col 5, lines 35-65), providing business knowledge to a networked computer based upon the login (Col 6, lines 25-36), providing personalized professional training information based on the login data (Col 7, lines 35-37 and Col 10, lines 10-34), and generating business knowledge, personal training activity and tracking this information (Col 10, lines 10-62). Linton does not specifically disclose that the business knowledge is for a business professional role or the mechanism for determining such

Application/Control Number: 09/675,155 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

information. However, Hekmatpour teaches that in an interactive certification and training system a primary function is to identify licensing compliance information for all employees, and that such information is related to each employee's business professional role within the company (Col 27, lines 18-46). For an interactive training system and method to be most useful, the information must be stored in a database on a per employee basis, just as password and login information is stored. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a system that stored business professional role information for each individual employee in a database and linked such information to individual employee accounts such that the business information could be retrieved upon login.

- 20. In regard to claim 40, Linton discloses that training progress and activity data are generated and stored on the database server (Col 10, lines 10-34).
- 21. In regard to claim 41, Linton discloses a server wherein business knowledge, training progress and training performance data are all stored on an individual login basis (Col 6, lines 21-36).
- 22. In regard to claim 42, Linton discloses that all data generated and received by the system is stored on a database server coupled to the network (Col 9, lines 9-10).
- 23. In regard to claim 43, Linton discloses a system and method that generates administrative reports that contain business knowledge, training progress and training performance data (Col 9, lines 37-65).
- 24. In regard to claim 44, Linton discloses that generated documents are stored on a database server in accordance with administrative instructions (Col 9, lines 66-67). Linton does not specifically disclose that this is a first set of administrative instructions. However, Hekmatpour

Art Unit: 3714

teaches a process for determining the training needs of employees on an ongoing basis, which shows that there is a first set of administrative instructions as well as a number of subsequent administrative instructions that require document storage on the server (Col 28, lines 28-48). The generation of documentation relating to employee performance and training goals established and met is an established, ongoing process in both prior inventions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a method and system for storing documents on the server in response to the first set, as well as subsequent sets, of administrative instructions.

- 25. In regard to claim 45, Linton discloses that the generated documents are stored and updated on a database server (Col 9, lines 37-40 and Col 11, lines 1-12).
- 26. In regard to claim 46, Linton discloses a computer system for providing administration instructions (Col 10, lines 35-62)
- 27. In regard to claims 47-48, Linton discloses that the system manages several types of knowledge, links these knowledge areas to one another, and includes descriptive text as an integral feature of the knowledge areas (Col 7, lines 18-58).
- 28. In regard to claims 49-50, Linton discloses that the system manages a plurality of knowledge types containing, embedded within, links to other data across the network (Col 7, lines 50-58).
- 29. In regard to claim 52, Linton discloses a computer system that enables communication between one or more users (Col 10, lines 35-46).
- 30. In regard to claim 53, Linton discloses a computer system that provides reference business knowledge based upon a login (Col 8, lines 65-66).

Application/Control Number: 09/675,155 Page 8

Art Unit: 3714

31. In regard to claims 54-57, Linton discloses a computer system for administering and tracking business knowledge data based upon individual login accounts, the creation and tracking of user profile information, providing notification for compliance of users, and distributing this information to qualified administrators (Col 10, lines 2-62).

- 32. In regard to claims 58, 64 and 68, Linton discloses the use of business knowledge for employees (Col 3, lines 29-42). Linton does not specifically disclose the generation of business knowledge use data. However, Hekmatpour teaches that information concerning the use of business knowledge can be generated as part of an ongoing process of employee training evaluation (Col 28, lines 28-48). Information concerning how business knowledge is used closes the feedback loop on the training compliance process and provides a method for making the process more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a means to generate information concerning the use of business knowledge.
- 33. In regard to claims 59 and 69, Linton discloses the use of business knowledge for employees in association with the login for each employee (Col 3, lines 29-42, Col 7, lines 18-29). Linton does not specifically disclose the generation of such business knowledge use data. However, Hekmatpour teaches that information concerning the use of business knowledge can be generated and stored on the server as part of an ongoing process of employee training evaluation on a per employee basis (Col 27, lines 35-46). Information concerning how business knowledge is used closes the feedback loop on the training compliance process and provides a method for making the process more efficient and thus should be associated on a per employee basis through the use of login profiles. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3714

skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a means to generate information concerning the use of business knowledge associated with each employee through a login process.

- 34. In regard to claims 60, 65 and 70, Linton discloses the use of business knowledge for employees in association with the login for each employee (Col 3, lines 29-42). Linton does not specifically disclose the generation of such business knowledge use data or the storage of such data in a data repository. However, Hekmatpour teaches that information concerning the use of business knowledge can be generated and stored on the server as part of an ongoing process of employee training evaluation (Col 27, lines 35-46). Information concerning how business knowledge is used closes the feedback loop on the training compliance process and provides a method for making the process more efficient and thus should be stored for later perusal.

 Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a means to generate information concerning the use of business knowledge and to store such information on the server.
- 35. In regard to claims 61-62, 66-67 and 71-72, Linton discloses the use of business knowledge for employees and the generation of reports containing this information (Col 7, lines 35-40). Linton does not specifically disclose the generation of such business knowledge use data or the generation of reports containing this information. However, Hekmatpour teaches that information concerning the use of business knowledge can be generated and stored on the server and later provided in reports to management (Col 29, 28-51). Information concerning how business knowledge is used closes the feedback loop on the training compliance process and provides a method for making the process more efficient and thus should be reported to management on an ongoing, periodically updated basis. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3714

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a means to generate information concerning the use of business knowledge and to generate reports for management containing this information on an ongoing, periodically updated basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 32 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 13, 32 and 51 all recite a communications link embedded in the individual user profiles for use in establishing a communications channel between employees on an as needed basis. The prior art does not disclose or teach the embedding of a communications link in the user profile in combination with the limitations of the preceding independent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's representative presents the argument that the Hekmatpour reference does not provide an employer's business information requirements of respective employee job functions in the user profiles maintained on each employee. This argument is unpersuasive. Hekmatpour recites user profiles that contain information concerning employee certification levels and work histories. This information is vital business information for any business that intends to remain in business and prosper. In addition, the user profiles are linked in a hierarchical fashion such that links to additional vital business information are a part of the

Art Unit: 3714

individual employee profiles (Col 5, lines 34-46). Thus the vital business information deemed as necessary to an employer is contained or linked to the employee profile records. In addition, job function identification is an inherent feature of any system that maintains records concerning ongoing certification of employees in order to determine what certifications are required for any given job function.

Applicant's arguments are, therefore, unpersuasive and the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L Sotomayor whose telephone number is 703-305-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jls April 29, 2004

> JESSICA HARRISON PRIMARY EXAMINER